## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
	)
V.	) Case No. 05-cv-329-GKF(PJC)
	)
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,	)
	)
Defenda	nts. )

# PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO SEPARATE DEFENDANTS, GEORGE'S INC.'S AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING CERTAIN FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS

Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, ("the State") respectfully opposes Defendants, George's Inc.'s and George's Farms, Inc.'s (collectively "George's") Motion for Protective Order Regarding Certain Financial Documents. [DKT #2046]. In light of the deadlines for summary judgment motions and *Daubert* motions in this case, it is perplexing why George's would waste both its and the Court's time and resources on two motions regarding the same issue. First, George's filed a motion to strike a supplemental report of an expert in which his opinions did not change, but merely incorporated George's own financial data that was voluntarily produced. Now, George's seeks with no authority whatsoever a "do-over" with respect to the voluntary production of that information. It is incredible that the parties are before the Court on this issue again.

Throughout its Motion, George's claims that it did not waive any objections by producing the subject information and that such information was not discoverable. However, George's makes these claims without citing to any supporting authority. George's relies upon this Court's

March 13, 2009 Order which refused to require Defendants to produce certain financial information as a retrospective Order requiring the State to return materials that George's voluntarily produced. However, the Order did not address the issue presently before the Court. George's waived its ability to have these materials returned when it produced this information absent a Court order to do so.

George's claims that it made a prompt demand for the return of the income statements and balance sheets. However, nearly a month passed from the Court's March 13 order and the time George's demanded (without any authority) the return of the income statements and balance sheets at issue. Moreover, it cannot go unnoticed that George's produced these materials nearly six months ago. If George's really believed the Court's March 13 Order required the State to return the subject information, it is curious that it would delay in seeking its return.

Noteworthy, during October through December 2008, counsel for the State had several telephone calls and exchanged multiple emails with counsel for George's regarding the information sought related to George's financial condition. This Court is wholly familiar with that dispute, and the State will not waste the Court's time on replowing that ground. However, the State must stress that no agreements were reached (or reneged upon) as set forth in George's Motion. *See*, *e.g.*, George's Motion at p. 2. The State merely informed George's that balance sheets were a start and that it would consult with its expert upon receipt to determine if such documentation was sufficient.

Along that vein, George's claims that the State ignored its demand for the return of the subject financial information. However, on April 24, 2009, counsel for the State informed George's that it was unclear on some of the positions George's had taken with respect to the January 2, 2009 information (which the State did not receive until January 5, 2009) and offered

to discuss the matter at the April 27-28 deposition of David Payne. George's responded that the State "[knew] [its] position on the January 2, 2009 information based on the Motion to Strike now pending." George's did not attempt to discuss this matter with counsel for the State during this two-day deposition of Mr. Payne. The State's counsel took George's silence to mean that the only issue that remained unresolved between the parties was George's Motion to Strike. As a result, the State believed all disputed matters were already before the Court. In any event, there is no basis for requiring the State to return financial information that George's voluntarily produced in January of this year and in the Fall of 2008.

Significantly, between May 8 and May 19, Defendants filed 15 motions, including motions in limine, motions challenging experts' qualifications and methodologies, and motions for summary judgment. *See* DKT ## 2028, 2031, 2033, 2046, 2050, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2078, 2079, 2082, 2090. Defendants' deadline to file *Daubert* challenges to the State's experts whose depositions occurred after April 16, 2009 is June 19, 2009. Defendants, including George's, have signaled an intention to file such motions with respect to Mr. Payne and other of the State's damages experts at that time. Therefore, the parties and the Court are inundated with motions regarding the substance of testimony and claims that will be presented to the jury. Why George's would file a motion regarding materials that it voluntarily produced and that are protected by the Confidentiality Order [DKT # 985] in effect in this case is perplexing to say the least. The State submits that this is another instance where "the Court's time is much better spent on matters that move this case forward rather than motions such as this one." [DKT #2023].

Protective Order.

#### Respectfully Submitted,

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 ATTORNEY GENERAL Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 J. Trevor Hammons OBA #20234 Daniel P. Lennington OBA #21577 ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21<sup>st</sup> St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

#### /s/ Richard T. Garren

M. David Riggs OBA #7583
Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371
Richard T. Garren OBA #3253
Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010
Robert A. Nance OBA #6581
D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641
David P. Page OBA #6852
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN,
ORBISON & LEWIS
502 West Sixth Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-3161

Louis W. Bullock OBA #1305 Robert M. Blakemore OBA 18656 BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE 110 West Seventh Street Suite 707 Tulsa OK 74119 (918) 584-2001

Frederick C. Baker (admitted *pro hac vice*) Lee M. Heath (admitted *pro hac vice*) Elizabeth C. Ward (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Elizabeth Claire Xidis (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mount Pleasant, SC 29465 (843) 216-9280

William H. Narwold (admitted *pro hac vice*) Ingrid L. Moll (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 20 Church Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 882-1676

Jonathan D. Orent (admitted *pro hac vice*) Michael G. Rousseau (admitted *pro hac vice*) Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 321 South Main Street Providence, RI 02940 (401) 457-7700

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 1<sup>st</sup> day of June, 2009, I electronically transmitted the above and foregoing pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and a transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General	fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us
Kelly H. Burch, Assistant Attorney General	kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us
J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General	trevor_hammons@oag.state.ok.us
Daniel P. Lennington, Assistant Attorney General	daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov
M. David Riggs	driggs@riggsabney.com
Joseph P. Lennart	jlennart@riggsabney.com
Richard T. Garren	rgarren@riggsabney.com
Sharon K. Weaver	sweaver@riggsabney.com
Robert A. Nance	rnance@riggsabney.com

Christopher H. Dolan	cdolan@faegre.com
Melissa C. Collins	mcollins@faegre.com
Colin C. Deihl	cdeihl@faegre.com
Randall E. Kahnke	rkahnke@faegre.com
FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP	
Dara D. Mann	dmann@mckennalong.com
MCKENNA, LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP	
Counsel for Cargill, Inc. & Cargill Turkey Pro	oduction, LLC
James Martin Graves	jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com
Gary V Weeks	gweeks@bassettlawfirm.com
Woody Bassett	wbassett@bassettlawfirm.com
K. C. Dupps Tucker	kctucker@bassettlawfirm.com
Earl Lee "Buddy" Chadick	bchadick@bassettlawfirm.com
BASSETT LAW FIRM	
George W. Owens	gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com
Randall E. Rose	rer@owenslawfirmpc.com
OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.	
Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms,	Inc.
A. Scott McDaniel	smcdaniel@mhla-law.com
Nicole Longwell	nlongwell@mhla-law.com
Philip Hixon	phixon@mhla-law.com
Craig A. Merkes	cmerkes@mhla-law.com
MCDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD	O, PLLC
Sherry P. Bartley	sbartley@mwsgw.com
MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG, GATES & W	OODYARD, PLLC
Counsel for Peterson Farms, Inc.	
X 1 - F1 - 1	island@ovelove.com
John Elrod	jelrod@cwlaw.com
Vicki Bronson	vbronson@cwlaw.com
P. Joshua Wisley	jwisley@cwlaw.com
Bruce W. Freeman	bfreeman@cwlaw.com
D. Richard Funk	rfunk@cwlaw.com
CONNER & WINTERS, LLP	
Counsel for Simmons Foods, Inc.	
C. 1 T. T.	signature Omeromy holovy some
Stephen L. Jantzen	sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com

njordan@lightfootlaw.com wcox@lightfootlaw.com

Nikaa Baugh Jordan

William S. Cox, III

to:

LIGHTFOOT, FRANKLIN & WHITE, LLC	
Counsel for American Farm Bureau and Nati	ional Cattlemen's Beef Association
Duane L. Berlin	dberlin@levberlin.com
LEV & BERLIN PC	
Counsel for Council of American Survey Reso	earch Organizations & American Association for
Public Opinion Research	

Also on this 1st day of June, 2009 I mailed a copy of the above and foregoing pleading

Thomas C Green -- via email: tcgreen@sidley.com Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood LLP

**Dustin McDaniel** Justin Allen Office of the Attorney General (Little Rock) 323 Center St, Ste 200 Little Rock, AR 72201-2610

Steven B. Randall 58185 County Rd 658 Kansas, Ok 74347

Cary Silverman -- via email: csilverman@shb.com **Victor E Schwartz** Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP (Washington DC)

> /s/ Richard T. Garren Richard T. Garren